# [ATMSD-2] Withdrawal function test for ATM System Created: 23/Jun/25 11:40 AM - Updated: 24/Jun/25 8:57 AM

Status:	Backlog				
Project:	ATM System Design				
Component/s:	ATM Application, Bank Application				
Туре:	Task	Priority:	Medium		
Reporter:	Roland Traier-Kiss [Midori]	Assignee:	Jack Powell		
Resolution:	Unresolved				
Labels:	ATM, interactions				
Test Details					
Estimated execution time (h):	6.5				
Approvals					
Approved by:	Casey Ford, Jack Powell, Daike Tanaka				
Final approval date:	23/Jun/25				
Execution Requirements					
Assets required for execution:	Test-ready ATM system, ATM display, Keyboard, Receipt printer, Cash dispenser				
Security clearance required for execution:	C2				

## Description

Test case of basic function "Withdrawal" to verify that the implementation is basically correct.



At this point, the ATM needs to send a message to the Bank object, asking it to process a transaction (passing the Withdraw transaction object as an explicit argument). The ATM object lives in one address space (the ATM application) but the Bank lives in a different address space (the Bank application). We will employ proxies to make the ATM and the Bank objects viewed in the same address space.

The ATM cannot send a direct message to a Bank, so it sends a message to a Bank proxy that lives in the ATM's address space (see attachment). This proxy packs up the request and transaction object and ships it across the network to an ATM proxy that lives in the Bank's address space. The ATM proxy unpacks the request, reconstitutes the transaction object, and sends the process message to the real Bank object.

The real ATM and Bank are completely unaware that they are really talking to proxies. This allows us to ignore the distributed facet of a distributed application during high-level design, leaving the gory details to low-level design proxy classes.

Test Case	Name	Priority	Status	Objective	Precondition
<u>ATMSD-T1 (1.0)</u>	ATM - Withdrawal function test	Normal	Approved	Test case of basic function "Withdrawal" to verify that the implementation is correct.	Assets: • Test-ready ATM system • ATM display • Keyboard • Receipt printer • Cash dispenser

Test Case	Test Step	Test Data	Expected Result
ATMSD-T1 (1.0)	1. Initiate a connection to <b>BANK</b> .	Use TCP/IP over Ethernet.	Connection to <b>Bank</b> has been established.
ATMSD-T1 (1.0)	2. Insert a readable card.		System asks for entry of PIN.
<u>ATMSD-T1 (1.0)</u>	3. Enter PIN.		System displays transaction types.
<u>ATMSD-T1 (1.0)</u>	<ol> <li>Choose Withdrawal transaction.</li> </ol>		System displays account types.
<u>ATMSD-T1 (1.0)</u>	5. Choose checking account.		System displays possible withdrawal amounts.
ATMSD-T1 (1.0)	6. Choose amount that		Message is shown: Verifying account balance.
	<ul> <li>the ATM currently has and</li> <li>is not greater than the amount available</li> </ul>		
<u>ATMSD-T1 (1.0)</u>	<ol> <li>Cancel transaction during verification.</li> </ol>		Message is shown: <i>Withdrawal cancelled</i> .





Requirements verment				
verifies	ATMSD-3	Connection can be initiated while in idle state	Defined	
verifies	ATMSD-4	System asks for PIN when readable card is inserted	Defined	
verifies	ATMSD-5	System verifies PIN number	Defined	
verifies	ATMSD-6	When correct PIN is entered, transactions menu is shown	Defined	
verifies	ATMSD-7	When transactions is selected, Bank sends a list of available accounts	Defined	
verifies	ATMSD-8	System keeps track of money on hand	Defined	
verifies	ATMSD-9	Transaction can be cancelled at any state	Defined	

### **Requirements verified**

#### Comments

Casey Ford added a comment - 24/Jun/25 8:51 AM

However I think I get the idea behind this design decision, but wouldn't it be a better alternative to have the Bank simply tell its transaction object to process itself, handing it the whole list of accounts?

## Jack Powell added a comment - 24/Jun/25 8:55 AM

Yes, I can see the point, <u>Casey Ford</u>. In this way, the particular process method, which runs for a given transaction type, can be responsible for determining the selection of account object(s). It also allows us to keep related data and behavior closer together by avoiding the removal of the account number from the transaction object.